

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,202	03/31/2004	W. Todd Daniell	190206-1805	6025
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP/ AT&T Delaware Intellectual Property, Inc.			EXAMINER	
			TRUONG, CAM Y T	
600 GALLERI SUITE 1500	A PARKWAY, S.E.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2162	
ATLANTA, G.	A 30339-5994	,		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

T .			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/814,202	DANIELL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cam Y T. Truong	2162	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS	,
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the State of the maximum statutory perions are provided by the Office later than three months after the maximum patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	November 2007.	·	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ TI	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			S
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			•
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
 Copies of the certified copies of the participation from the International Bure 		received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	*	received	
Occ the attached detailed Office detail for a r	ot or the certified copies her	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11 20 2007		nformal Patent Application	

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

ETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant has amended claims 1, 6 and 11 in the amendment filed on 11/20/2007.

Claims 1-19 are pending in this Office Action.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argued that Knauerhase fails to teach the added limitation "an email window that is configured to provide IM presence information associated with the IM address of the contact of the user".

In response to applicant's argument, examiner respectfully disagrees because Appelman teaches shown in figure 10, an email window has an icon next to a person's address in an e-mail message may indicate to the recipient that the person has IM capability. By clicking on the person's address, the recipient may launch an instant message. IM address is associated with a subscriber identification (col. 14, lines 23-35; col. 9, lines 5-25).

Donovan teaches as shown in fig. 5, window 58 displays content of instant message (IM presence information). The content of instant message is associated with IM address of Ted (contact) of Bill (user) (paragraph 0042, 0043).

As discussed above the combination of Knauerhase in view of Appelman and Donovan teaches the claimed invention.

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knauerhase (US 2003/0023691 A1) in view of Bernstein et al (or hereinafter "Bernstein") (US 20040128356) and Donovan (US 2004/0193722).

As to claim 1, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, an instant messaging (IM) address of contact of the user" as a single user may have many different associated communication channels through which the user can receive messages from other users. For example, a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses and multiple IM addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. The above information

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

shows that the user has received IM addresses of the recipient. The recipient is represented as a contact of the user. The user is represented as a user. The above information shows that the user has included a computing device to receive an instant messaging at the user location (page 1, col. Right, lines 8-22),

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, an email address of the contact of the user" as a single user may have many different associated communication channels through which the user can receives messages from other users. For example, a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses and multiple IM addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. The above information shows that the user has received email addresses of the recipient. The recipient is represented as a contact of the user. The user is represented as a user. The user is represented as a user. The above information shows that the user has included a computing device to receive email address of a contact at the user location (page 1, col. Right, lines 8-22),

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the IM address to the reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses and multiple IM addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify a recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple IM addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each IM address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56),

"the reference identifier (ID) being adapted to identify the individual contact" as specifying m.to ID to identify the recipient. It means that the ID is used to identify the recipient (page 3, col. Right, lines 53-56),

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the email address to the reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudocode, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple email addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient,

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

messages are correlated to email addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each IM address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 53-56);

Knauerhase does not explicitly teach "providing, by the computing device at the user location, an email receive window configured to display a received email, the email receive window configured to provide IM presence information associated with the IM address of the contact of the user, the email receive window configured to utilize the reference identifier (ID) for lauching an IM session with the contact from the email receive window, the email receive window including a launch IM option for launching the IM session"

Bernstein teaches an email window provides embeddable IM icon as IM presence information that associated with an address (paragraphs 00115, 0118-0120). A identifier is utilized for launching an IM with a contact of a user (paragraph 0132, 0107, 0022).

Donovan teaches [0013] when a user accesses the Internet, a window is displayed on his screen showing an active friends list (i.e., the friends from his list who are online) which is a subset of the active users in the IM database. That user can then initiate an instant messaging session with one or more friends on this list and exchange messages or other data.

As shown in fig. 5, window 58 displays content of instant message (IM presence information). The content of instant message is associated with IM address of Ted (contact) of Bill (user) (paragraph 0042, 0043).

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Bernstein's teaching an email window provides embeddable IM icon as IM presence information that associated with an address and Donovan's teaching of a window that allow a user initiate an instant messaging session with one or other friends on this list and exchange messages to Robertson's system in order to in order to improve a system by allow users to choose communicating, exchanging messages only via instant messages and not use email or allow users to both maintain conversations about the email together with the email as well as to maintain an automatic archive of their instant messaging session within a mail window conveniently.

As to claim 2, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, a telephone number of the contact" as (fig. 2, page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24);

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the telephone number of the contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56);

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, an address of the contact" as (fig. 2, page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24);

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, an address of the contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56);

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, personal information of the individual contact" as (fig. 2, page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24);

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the personal information of contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 3, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, a telephone number of the contact" as the user must keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email addresses and telephone numbers. This information shows that the user has received the recipient's email address (fig. 2, page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the telephone number of the individual contact to the reference identifier (ID)" a user Rob may have multiple telephone numbers any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple telephone numbers of the recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to these telephone numbers. Since a message is

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each telephone number is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 4, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, an address of the individual contact" as the user keeps track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., voice email, email addresses, telephone number and fax numbers. This information shows that the user must receive addresses of the recipient (fig. 2, page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the address of the individual contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have voice email and email address, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose another email address of the recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, another email address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

As to claim 5, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, personal information of the contact" as the user keeps track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address, telephone number and fax numbers. This information shows that the user has received fax numbers of the recipient. Fax numbers are represented as personal information (fig. 2, page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the personal information of individual contact to the reference identifier (ID)" a user Rob may have fax numbers, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose fax numbers of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to fax numbers. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each fax number is correlated to the recipient's ID. These fax numbers are presented as the personal information of the recipient (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

As to claim 6, Knauerhse teaches the claimed limitations:

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, user input, the user input comprising multiple instant messaging (IM) addresses of an individual contact" as a single user may have many different associated communication channels through which the user can receives messages from other users. For example, a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses and multiple IM addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, intended for a recipient. The above information shows that the user can choose multiple IM addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient. When the user chooses multiple IM addresses of the recipient, these multiple IM addresses of the recipient are inputted and the routing procedure receives the input. The recipient is represented as a contact of the user. The user is represented as a user (page 1, col. Right, lines 8-22, page 3, col. Left, lines 53-54),

"the multiple IM addresses comprising IM addresses from different IM accounts" as a single user may have many different associated communication channels through which the user can receives messages from other users. For example, a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses and multiple IM addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. In particular, a user may have accounts on two

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

or more different IM networks that facilitate interoperation between them e.g., a user on IM network X can send/receive IMs to /from a user on IM network Y. Thus, user Rob's multiple IM addresses are from different IM accounts (page 1, col. Right, lines 10-15; page 3, col. Left lines 2-5),

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, each of the multiple IM addresses to the reference identifier(ID)" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses and multiple IM addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify a recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple IM addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since each message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each IM address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56),

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, a reference identifier ID, the reference identifier (ID) being adapted to identify the individual contact" to identify the recipient specified by m.toID. It means that the ID is used to identify the recipient.

The ID is not defined by the user (page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

Knauerhase does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation "each of the different IM accounts being adapted to transmit and receive IM messages using a different IM protocol receiving, by the computing device at the user location; providing, by the computing device at the user location, an email receive window configured to display a received email, the email receive window configured to provide IM presence information associated with the IM address of the contact of the user, the email receive window configured to utilize the reference identifier (ID) for lauching an IM session with the contact from the email receive window, the email receive window including a launch IM option for launching the IM session".

Bernstein teaches an email window provides embeddable IM icon as IM presence information that associated with an address (paragraphs 00115, 0118-0120). A identifier is utilized for launching an IM with a contact of a user (paragraph 0132, 0107, 0022).

Donovan teaches a system that provides instant messaging (IM) on and through the Internet across various platforms. More particular, the system allows individuals to exchange messages and files over the Internet substantially instantaneously across multiple and different protocols and systems. For example, Bill has an account at Yahoo that is adapted to receive IM message using a protocol. Ted has an account at AOL that is adapted to receive IM message using another protocol. Bill can exchange instant messages with Ted and Rhoda, and Ted and Rhoda can exchange messages with Bill. When Bill talks to Ted, their messages appear in the message area. The above information shows that user accounts being adapted to forward and receive IM

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

messages using different protocols (figs. 1& 5, page 1, col. left, lines 5-10; page 4, col. left, lines 18-24; page 3, col. Right, lines 1-10).

Donovan teaches [0013] when a user accesses the Internet, a window is displayed on his screen showing an active friends list (i.e., the friends from his list who are online) which is a subset of the active users in the IM database. That user can then initiate an instant messaging session with one or more friends on this list and exchange messages or other data.

As shown in fig. 5, window 58 displays content of instant message (IM presence information). The content of instant message is associated with IM address of Ted (contact) of Bill (user) (paragraph 0042, 0043).

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Bernstein's teaching an email window provides embeddable IM icon as IM presence information that associated with an address and Donovan's teaching of a window that allow a user initiate an instant messaging session with one or other friends on this list and exchange messages to Robertson's system in order to in order to improve a system by allow users to choose communicating, exchanging messages only via instant messages and not use email or allow users to both maintain conversations about the email together with the email as well as to maintain an automatic archive of their instant messaging session within a mail window conveniently.

As to claim 7, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

10/814,202 Art Unit: 216

Art Unit: 2162

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, an email address of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address and telephone number. This information shows that the user has received the recipient's email address (fig. 2, page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24) and

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the email address of the individual contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have multiple email addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple email addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to email addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each email address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 8, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, a telephone number of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

device addresses e.g., email address and telephone number. This information shows that the user has received the recipient's email address (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the telephone number of the individual contact to the reference identifier ID" a user Rob may have multiple telephone numbers any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple telephone numbers of the recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to these telephone numbers. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each telephone number is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56),

As to claim 9, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitation "receiving, by the computing device at the user location, an address of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., voice email, email address, telephone number and fax numbers. This information shows that the user must receive addresses of the recipient (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the address of the individual contact to the reference identifier ID" as a user Rob may have voice email and email address, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose another email address of the recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, another email address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56),

As to claim 10, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, personal information of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address, telephone number and fax numbers. This information shows that the user has received fax numbers (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the personal information of individual contact to the reference identifier ID" a user Rob may have

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

multiple e-mail addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose pager numbers of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to pager numbers. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each IM address is correlated to the recipient's ID. These pager numbers are presented as the personal information of the recipient (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56),

As to claim 11, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"first receive logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, the first receive logic configured to receive first user input, the first user input comprising multiple instant messaging (IM) addresses of an individual contact" as a software is configured to receive a user select multiple IM addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient. The recipient is represented as a contact of the user. The user is represented as a user (page 1, col. Right, lines 8-22, lines 55-61; page 2, col. Left, lines 1-3),

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

"the multiple IM addresses comprising IM addresses from different IM accounts" as a single user may have many different associated communication channels through which the user can receives messages from other users. For example, a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses and multiple IM addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. In particular, a user may have accounts on two or more different IM networks that facilitate interoperation between them e.g., a user on IM network X can send/receive IMs to /from a user on IM network Y. Thus, user Rob's multiple IM addresses can be from different IM accounts (page 1, col. Right, lines 10-15; page 3, lines 2-5),

"correlate logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, and configured to correlate each of the multiple IM addresses to a reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses and multiple IM addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudocode, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple IM addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since a message is correlated to the

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

recipient's ID; thus, each IM address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56),

"the reference identifier (ID) being adapted to identify the contact" as specifying m.to ID to identify the recipient. It means that the ID is used to identify the recipient.

The ID is defined by the user (page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

Knauerhase does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation "correlate logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, and the second receive logic configured to receive second user input, the second user input comprising a reference identifier (ID), each of the different IM accounts being adapted to transmit; email window logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, an email receive window configured to display a received email, the email receive window configured to provide IM presence information associated with the IM address of the contact of the user, the email receive window configured to utilize the reference identifier (ID) for launching an IM session with the contact from the email receive window, the email receive window including a launch IM option for launching the IM session"

Bernstein teaches an email window provides embeddable IM icon as IM presence information that associated with an address (paragraphs 00115, 0118-0120). A identifier is utilized for launching an IM with a contact of a user (paragraph 0132, 0107, 0022).

Donovan teaches a system that provides instant messaging (IM) on and through the Internet across various platforms. More particular, the system allows individuals to exchange messages and files over the Internet substantially instantaneously across

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

Multiple and different protocols and systems. For example, Bill has an account at Yahoo that is adapted to receive IM message using a protocol. Ted has an account at AOL that is adapted to receive IM message using another protocol. Bill can exchange instant messages with Ted and Rhoda, and Ted and Rhoda can exchange messages with Bill. When Bill talks to Ted, their messages appear in the message area. The above information shows that user accounts being adapted to forward and receive IM messages using different protocols (figs. 1& 5, page 1, col. left, lines 5-10; page 4, col. left, lines 18-24; page 3, col. Right, lines 1-10).

Donovan teaches [0013] when a user accesses the Internet, a window is displayed on his screen showing an active friends list (i.e., the friends from his list who are online) which is a subset of the active users in the IM database. That user can then initiate an instant messaging session with one or more friends on this list and exchange messages or other data.

As shown in fig. 5, window 58 displays content of instant message (IM presence information). The content of instant message is associated with IM address of Ted (contact) of Bill (user) (paragraph 0042, 0043).

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Bernstein's teaching an email window provides embeddable IM icon as IM presence information that associated with an address and Donovan's teaching of a window that allow a user initiate an instant messaging session with one or other friends on this list and exchange messages to Robertson's system in order to improve a system by allow users to choose communicating,

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

exchanging messages only via instant messages and not use email or allow users to both maintain conversations about the email together with the email as well as to maintain an automatic archive of their instant messaging session within a mail window conveniently.

As to claim 12, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receive logic, processed by the computing device at the user location and configured to receive an email address of the individual contact" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). The above information shows the system that has included a software to allow the user to receive multiple email addresses of the recipient (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56); and

"correlate logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, the correlate logic configured to correlate the email address of the individual contact to the reference identifier ID" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudocode, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple email addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to email addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each email address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 13, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"means for receiving, by the computing device at the user location, an email address of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address and telephone number. This information has received that the user must receive the recipient's email address (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24) and

"means for correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the email address of the individual contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple email addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to email addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each email address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 14, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receive logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, and configured to receive a telephone number of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address and telephone number. This information shows that the user has received the recipient's telephone number (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"correlate logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, and the correlate logic configured to correlate the telephone number of the individual contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have multiple telephone number any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudocode, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple telephone numbers of the recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each telephone number is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 15, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"means for receiving, by the computing device at the user location, a telephone number of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address and telephone number. This information shows that the user must receive the recipient's email address (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"means for correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the telephone number of the individual contact to the reference identifier ID" as a user Rob may have multiple telephone number any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m,

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple telephone numbers of the recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each telephone number is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 16, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations

"receive logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, configured to receive an address of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., voice email, email address, telephone number and fax numbers. This information shows that the user must receive addresses of the recipient (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"correlating logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, the correlate logic configured to correlate the address of individual contact to the reference identifier ID" as a user Rob may have voice email and email addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudocode, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

choose another email address of the recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, another email address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 17, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations

"means for receiving, by the computing device at the user location, an address of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., voice email, email address, telephone number and fax numbers. This information shows that the user must receive addresses of the recipient (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"means for correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the address of the individual contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have voice email and email address, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose another email address of the recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since a message

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, another email address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 18, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receive logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, configured to receive personal information of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address, telephone number and fax numbers. This information shows that the user has received addresses of the recipient (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"correlate logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, configured to correlate the personal information of individual contact to the reference identifier ID" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose pager numbers of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to pager numbers. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each IM address is correlated to the recipient's ID. These pager numbers are presented as the personal

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

information of the recipient (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 19, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"means for receiving, by the computing device at the user location, personal information of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address, telephone number and fax numbers. This information shows that the user has received addresses of the recipient (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"means for correlating, processed by the computing device at the user location, the personal information of individual contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose pager numbers of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to pager numbers. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each IM address is correlated to the

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

recipient's ID. These pager numbers are presented as the personal information of the recipient (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knauerhase (US 2003/0023691 A1) in view of Donovan (US 2004/0193722) and Appelman et al (or hereinafter "Appelman") (US 6912564).

As to claim 1, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, an instant messaging (IM) address of contact of the user" as a single user may have many different associated communication channels through which the user can receive messages from other users. For example, a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses and multiple IM addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. The above information shows that the user has received IM addresses of the recipient. The recipient is represented as a contact of the user. The user is represented as a user. The above information shows that the user has included a computing device to receive an instant messaging at the user location (page 1, col. Right, lines 8-22),

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, an email address of the contact of the user" as a single user may have many different associated

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

communication channels through which the user can receives messages from other users. For example, a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses and multiple IM addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. The above information shows that the user has received email addresses of the recipient. The recipient is represented as a contact of the user. The user is represented as a user. The user is represented as a user. The above information shows that the user has included a computing device to receive email address of a contact at the user location (page 1, col. Right, lines 8-22),

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the IM address to the reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses and multiple IM addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify a recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple IM addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient.

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each IM address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56),

"the reference identifier (ID) being adapted to identify the individual contact" as specifying m.to ID to identify the recipient. It means that the ID is used to identify the recipient (page 3, col. Right, lines 53-56),

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the email address to the reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudocode, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple email addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to email addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each IM address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 53-56);

Knauerhase does not explicitly teach "providing, by the computing device at the user location, an email receive window configured to display a received email, the email receive window configured to provide IM presence information associated with the IM

.10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

address of the contact of the user, the email receive window configured to utilize the reference identifier (ID) for lauching an IM session with the contact from the email receive window, the email receive window including a launch IM option for launching the IM session"

Appelman teaches shown in figure 10, an icon next to a person's address in an e-mail message may indicate to the recipient that the person has IM capability. By clicking on the person's address, the recipient may launch an instant message. IM address is associated with a subscriber identification (col. 14, lines 23-35; col. 9, lines 5-25).

Donovan teaches [0013] when a user accesses the Internet, a window is displayed on his screen showing an active friends list (i.e., the friends from his list who are online) which is a subset of the active users in the IM database. That user can then initiate an instant messaging session with one or more friends on this list and exchange messages or other data.

As shown in fig. 5, window 58 displays content of instant message (IM presence information). The content of instant message is associated with IM address of Ted (contact) of Bill (user) (paragraph 0042, 0043).

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Appelman's teaching of an email window that has an icon next to a person's address in an e-mail message to launch an instant message and Donovan's teaching of a window that allow a user initiate an instant messaging session

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

with one or other friends on this list and exchange messages to Robertson's system in order to in order to improve a system by allow users to choose communicating, exchanging messages only via instant messages and not use email or allow users to both maintain conversations about the email together with the email as well as to maintain an automatic archive of their instant messaging session within a mail window conveniently.

As to claim 2, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, a telephone number of the contact" as (fig. 2, page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24);

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the telephone number of the contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56);

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, an address of the contact" as (fig. 2, page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24);

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, an address of the contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56);

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, personal information of the individual contact" as (fig. 2, page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24);

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the personal information of contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 3, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, a telephone number of the contact" as the user must keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email addresses and telephone numbers. This information shows that the user has received the recipient's email address (fig. 2, page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the telephone number of the individual contact to the reference identifier (ID)" a user Rob may have multiple telephone numbers any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple telephone numbers of the recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to these telephone numbers. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each telephone number is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

As to claim 4, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, an address of the individual contact" as the user keeps track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., voice email, email addresses, telephone number and fax numbers. This information shows that the user must receive addresses of the recipient (fig. 2, page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the address of the individual contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have voice email and email address, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose another email address of the recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, another email address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 5, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, personal information of the contact" as the user keeps track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address, telephone number and fax numbers. This information shows that the user has received fax numbers of the recipient. Fax numbers are represented as personal information (fig. 2, page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the personal information of individual contact to the reference identifier (ID)" a user Rob may have fax numbers, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose fax numbers of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to fax numbers. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each fax number is correlated to the recipient's ID. These fax numbers are presented as the personal information of the recipient (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 6. Knauerhse teaches the claimed limitations:

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, user input, the user input comprising multiple instant messaging (IM) addresses of an individual contact" as a single user may have many different associated communication channels through which the user can receives messages from other users. For example, a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses and multiple IM addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, intended for a recipient. The above information shows that the user can choose multiple IM addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient. When the user chooses multiple IM addresses of the recipient, these multiple IM addresses of the recipient are inputted and the routing procedure receives the input. The recipient is represented as a contact of the user. The user is represented as a user (page 1, col. Right, lines 8-22, page 3, col. Left, lines 53-54),

"the multiple IM addresses comprising IM addresses from different IM accounts" as a single user may have many different associated communication channels through which the user can receives messages from other users. For example, a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses and multiple IM addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. In particular, a user may have accounts on two or more different IM networks that facilitate interoperation between them e.g., a user on

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

IM network X can send/receive IMs to /from a user on IM network Y. Thus, user Rob's multiple IM addresses are from different IM accounts (page 1, col. Right, lines 10-15; page 3, col. Left lines 2-5),

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, each of the multiple IM addresses to the reference identifier(ID)" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses and multiple IM addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify a recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple IM addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since each message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each IM address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56),

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, a reference identifier ID, the reference identifier (ID) being adapted to identify the individual contact" to identify the recipient specified by m.toID. It means that the ID is used to identify the recipient.

The ID is not defined by the user (page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

Knauerhase does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation "each of the different IM accounts being adapted to transmit and receive IM messages using a different IM

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

protocol receiving, by the computing device at the user location; providing, by the computing device at the user location, an email receive window configured to display a received email, the email receive window configured to provide IM presence information associated with the IM address of the contact of the user, the email receive window configured to utilize the reference identifier (ID) for lauching an IM session with the contact from the email receive window, the email receive window including a launch IM option for launching the IM session"

Appelman teaches shown in figure 10, an icon next to a person's address in an e-mail message may indicate to the recipient that the person has IM capability. By clicking on the person's address, the recipient may launch and instant message. IM address is associated with a subscriber identification (col. 14, lines 23-35; col. 9, lines 5-25).

Donovan also teaches a system that provides instant messaging (IM) on and through the Internet across various platforms. More particular, the system allows individuals to exchange messages and files over the Internet substantially instantaneously across multiple and different protocols and systems. For example, Bill has an account at Yahoo that is adapted to receive IM message using a protocol. Ted has an account at AOL that is adapted to receive IM message using another protocol. Bill can exchange instant messages with Ted and Rhoda, and Ted and Rhoda can exchange messages with Bill. When Bill talks to Ted, their messages appear in the message area. The above information shows that user accounts being adapted to

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

forward and receive IM messages using different protocols (figs. 1& 5, page 1, col. left, lines 5-10; page 4, col. left, lines 18-24; page 3, col. Right, lines 1-10).

Donovan teaches [0013] when a user accesses the Internet, a window is displayed on his screen showing an active friends list (i.e., the friends from his list who are online) which is a subset of the active users in the IM database. That user can then initiate an instant messaging session with one or more friends on this list and exchange messages or other data.

As shown in fig. 5, window 58 displays content of instant message (IM presence information). The content of instant message is associated with IM address of Ted (contact) of Bill (user) (paragraph 0042, 0043).

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Appelman's teaching of an email window that has an icon next to a person's address in an e-mail message to launch an instant message and Donovan's teaching of a window that allow a user initiate an instant messaging session with one or other friends on this list and exchange messages to Robertson's system in order to in order to improve a system by allow users to choose communicating, exchanging messages only via instant messages and not use email or allow users to both maintain conversations about the email together with the email as well as to maintain an automatic archive of their instant messaging session within a mail window conveniently and further to provide multiple IM platforms and prevent hackers to access user's account for updating or modifying user's data.

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

As to claim 7, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, an email address of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address and telephone number. This information shows that the user has received the recipient's email address (fig. 2, page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24) and

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the email address of the individual contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have multiple email addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple email addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to email addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each email address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 8, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, a telephone number of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address and telephone number. This information shows that the user has received the recipient's email address (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the telephone number of the individual contact to the reference identifier ID" a user Rob may have multiple telephone numbers any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple telephone numbers of the recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to these telephone numbers. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each telephone number is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56),

As to claim 9, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitation "receiving, by the computing device at the user location, an address of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., voice email,

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

email address, telephone number and fax numbers. This information shows that the user must receive addresses of the recipient (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the address of the individual contact to the reference identifier ID" as a user Rob may have voice email and email address, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose another email address of the recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, another email address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56),

As to claim 10, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receiving, by the computing device at the user location, personal information of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address, telephone number and fax numbers. This information shows that the user has received fax numbers (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

"correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the personal information of individual contact to the reference identifier ID" a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212.

Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose pager numbers of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to pager numbers. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each IM address is correlated to the recipient's ID. These pager numbers are presented as the personal information of the recipient (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56),

As to claim 11, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"first receive logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, the first receive logic configured to receive first user input, the first user input comprising multiple instant messaging (IM) addresses of an individual contact" as a software is configured to receive a user select multiple IM addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient .The recipient is represented as a contact of the user. The

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

user is represented as a user (page 1, col. Right, lines 8-22, lines 55-61; page 2, col. Left, lines 1-3),

"the multiple IM addresses comprising IM addresses from different IM accounts" as a single user may have many different associated communication channels through which the user can receives messages from other users. For example, a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses and multiple IM addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. In particular, a user may have accounts on two or more different IM networks that facilitate interoperation between them e.g., a user on IM network X can send/receive IMs to /from a user on IM network Y. Thus, user Rob's multiple IM addresses can be from different IM accounts (page 1, col. Right, lines 10-15; page 3, lines 2-5).

"correlate logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, and configured to correlate each of the multiple IM addresses to a reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses and multiple IM addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudocode, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple IM addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient,

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each IM address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56),

"the reference identifier (ID) being adapted to identify the contact" as specifying m.to ID to identify the recipient. It means that the ID is used to identify the recipient.

The ID is defined by the user (page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

Knauerhase does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation "correlate logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, and the second receive logic configured to receive second user input, the second user input comprising a reference identifier (ID), each of the different IM accounts being adapted to transmit; email window logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, an email receive window configured to display a received email, the email receive window configured to provide IM presence information associated with the IM address of the contact of the user, the email receive window configured to utilize the reference identifier (ID) for launching an IM session with the contact from the email receive window, the email receive window including a launch IM option for launching the IM session"

Appelman teaches shown in figure 10, an icon next to a person's address in an e-mail message may indicate to the recipient that the person has IM capability. By clicking on the person's address, the recipient may launch and instant message. IM address is associated with a subscriber identification (col. 14, lines 23-35; col. 9, lines 5-25).

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

Donovan also teaches a system that provides instant messaging (IM) on and through the Internet across various platforms. More particular, the system allows individuals to exchange messages and files over the Internet substantially instantaneously across multiple and different protocols and systems. For example, Bill has an account at Yahoo that is adapted to receive IM message using a protocol. Ted has an account at AOL that is adapted to receive IM message using another protocol. Bill can exchange instant messages with Ted and Rhoda, and Ted and Rhoda can exchange messages with Bill. When Bill talks to Ted, their messages appear in the message area. The above information shows that user accounts being adapted to forward and receive IM messages using different protocols (figs. 1& 5, page 1, col. left, lines 5-10; page 4, col. left, lines 18-24; page 3, col. Right, lines 1-10).

Donovan teaches [0013] when a user accesses the Internet, a window is displayed on his screen showing an active friends list (i.e., the friends from his list who are online) which is a subset of the active users in the IM database. That user can then initiate an instant messaging session with one or more friends on this list and exchange messages or other data.

As shown in fig. 5, window 58 displays content of instant message (IM presence information). The content of instant message is associated with IM address of Ted . (contact) of Bill (user) (paragraph 0042, 0043).

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Appelman's teaching of an email window that has an icon

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

next to a person's address in an e-mail message to launch an instant message and Donovan's teaching of a window that allow a user initiate an instant messaging session with one or other friends on this list and exchange messages to Robertson's system in order to in order to improve a system by allow users to choose communicating, exchanging messages only via instant messages and not use email or allow users to both maintain conversations about the email together with the email as well as to maintain an automatic archive of their instant messaging session within a mail window conveniently and further to provide multiple IM platforms and prevent hackers to access user's account for updating or modifying user's data.

As to claim 12, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receive logic, processed by the computing device at the user location and configured to receive an email address of the individual contact" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). The above information shows the system that has included a software to allow the user to

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

receive multiple email addresses of the recipient (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56); and

"correlate logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, the correlate logic configured to correlate the email address of the individual contact to the reference identifier ID" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudocode, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple email addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to email addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each email address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 13, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"means for receiving, by the computing device at the user location, an email address of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address and telephone number. This

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

information has received that the user must receive the recipient's email address (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24) and

"means for correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the email address of the individual contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple email addresses of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to email addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each email address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 14, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receive logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, and configured to receive a telephone number of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address and telephone number. This information shows that the user has received the recipient's telephone number (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

"correlate logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, and the

correlate logic configured to correlate the telephone number of the individual contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have multiple telephone number any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudocode, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple telephone numbers of the recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each telephone number is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 15, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"means for receiving, by the computing device at the user location, a telephone number of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address and telephone number. This information shows that the user must receive the recipient's email address (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

"means for correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the telephone number of the individual contact to the reference identifier ID" as a user Rob may have multiple telephone number any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose multiple telephone numbers of the recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each telephone number is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 16, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations

"receive logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, configured to receive an address of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., voice email, email address, telephone number and fax numbers. This information shows that the user must receive addresses of the recipient (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"correlating logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, the correlate logic configured to correlate the address of individual contact to the reference

10/814,202

Art Unit: 2162

identifier ID" as a user Rob may have voice email and email addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudocode, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose another email address of the recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, another email address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 17, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations

"means for receiving, by the computing device at the user location, an address of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., voice email, email address, telephone number and fax numbers. This information shows that the user must receive addresses of the recipient (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"means for correlating, by the computing device at the user location, the address of the individual contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have voice email and email address, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose another email address of the recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to IM addresses. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, another email address is correlated to the recipient's ID (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 18, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"receive logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, configured to receive personal information of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address, telephone number and fax numbers. This information shows that the user has received addresses of the recipient (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"correlate logic, processed by the computing device at the user location, configured to correlate the personal information of individual contact to the reference identifier ID" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

channels 212. Either the user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose pager numbers of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to pager numbers. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each IM address is correlated to the recipient's ID. These pager numbers are presented as the personal information of the recipient (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

As to claim 19, Knauerhase teaches the claimed limitations:

"means for receiving, by the computing device at the user location, personal information of the individual contact" as the user must know and keep track of the recipient's various device addresses e.g., email address, telephone number and fax numbers. This information shows that the user has received addresses of the recipient (page 1, col. Right, lines 22-24); and

"means for correlating, processed by the computing device at the user location, the personal information of individual contact to the reference identifier (ID)" as a user Rob may have multiple e-mail addresses, any one or more of which may be used to route messages to Rob. As shown in fig. 2, a message 200 for a recipient 210 can be sent over any of one or more of 13 different communication channels 212. Either the

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

user or the recipient may desire that the message be sent over more than one of the channels 212. Typically, the user chooses which of the channels the message is to be sent over. As indicated by the pseudo-code, the routing procedure first accepts a message, m, intended for a recipient and parses it to identify the recipient (specified by m.to ID). In case, when the user can choose pager numbers of a recipient to route messages to the recipient, messages are correlated to pager numbers. Since a message is correlated to the recipient's ID; thus, each IM address is correlated to the recipient's ID. These pager numbers are presented as the personal information of the recipient (page 1, col. right, lines 8-22; page 3, col. Right, lines 55-56).

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10/814,202 Art Unit: 2162

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cam Y T Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272-4042. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Firday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cam-Y Truong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2162